Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP80B01676R003200200009 Registry

Executive Registry

10 April 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR:

THE DIRECTOR

REFERENCE

: General Erskine's Notes

I agree with General Cabell's comments. I do not agree that my office is organized or well-placed for the "informal and off the record staffing" which General Erskine's notes mention. It seems to me that any extensive staff work on such matters in the Agency is a function of other offices. I do think that my office should be as helpful as possible to other Agency offices as well as to offices such as General Erskine's. It strikes me that the problem that concerns General Erskine here is primarily a Department of Defense matter.

L. K. TRUSCOTT, JR.
General, USA (Ret.)
Deputy Director (Coordination)

cc: DDCI

Attachment: Gen. Erskine's Notes

JCS review(s) completed.



Awofine

| of Defense USIB's interest in and hope for support of undertakings involv |
|--|
| DOD resources. Two examples are |
| Similarly, financing a increase in the contract trans |
| lation project has been put on today's USIB agenda. |
| We believe it would be useful to explore possible staffing arrange- |
| ments by which such matters might be preliminarily looked into by CIA |
| and OSD together with any other immediately involved elements. An |
| agreed informal SOP should, as a general rule, help expedite concrete |
| action and insure the smoothest possible handling. |
| ,我们就是一个大大的,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,我们就是一个人的人,就是我们的人的人,我们就是一个人的人的人。 |
| Gen. Truscott's office and OSO are both well placed to do the |
| Gen. Truscott's office and OSO are both well placed to do the necessary informal and off-the-record staffing within their respective |
| |
| necessary informal and off-the-record staffing within their respective |

Our experience concerning is illustrative. In the spring of 1958 OSD arranged for the support of this promising Army project after the BOB had denied CIA the opportunity to help. These arrangements were carried through smoothly without the need for IAC intervention. Recently the Army briefed USIB on its program,

the means of achieving a solution.

Approved For Release 2003/08/18: CIA-RDP80B01676R003200200009-8

25

25X1

| Directly, USIB expressed its support to the | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Secretary of Defense. It was not until the Army vigorously employed the | | | | | | |
| USIB letter in support of an expanded proposal that OSD | | | | | | |
| learned that ACSI's earlier proposal for had been disapproved | | | | | | |
| within the Army itself. The Army's proposal went substantially | | | | | | |
| beyond what USIB and its chairman considered they were endorsing. But | | | | | | |
| in the process, the facts were obscured, and a false pressure was built up, | | | | | | |
| based on misinterpretation of the USIB views which its chairman had | | | | | | |
| expressed. We believe preliminary discussions among CIA, AGSI, and | | | | | | |
| OSD could have made the issues more clear and, on balance, could have | | | | | | |
| saved much time and energy. | | | | | | |

25X

25X

25X

There is a genuine practical and psychological advantage in the Service intelligence chiefs' having the prestige of USIB available to help them when really necessary to throw into clear relief the national interest behind some of their undertakings which may be unsympathetically viewed within their own Services. If this prestige is used sparingly and only when needed, it will be genuinely effective. But there may be an understandable tendency for the Service intelligence to invoke it too often; even through to do so weakens it in the end. We believe CIA and OSD are in a position to avoid this happening.

To return to the solution: a flexible, sensitive, and discreet CIA-OSD means is indicated for identifying and accurately defining issues,

resolving some of them where possible, providing a realistic appraisal when USIB referal is necessary, and accomplishing the needed preliminary staffing when Board action is desirable.

All of what has been said refers to issues involving additional DOD resources. The point is that such resources are the object of competition between numerous operational as well as intelligence programs. OSO, working with informed and sympathetic officials in COMP, R&E, ARPA, etc., is prepared to arrive at a sound preliminary estimate of DOD realities before USIB action; in many cases it should be able to spare the need therefor, and when such action is needed, it should be able to assure the most favorable OSD venue. Gen. Truscott, similarly, is ideally situated and equipped to perform a like function in CIA; and working with the OSO staff, his people should be able to deal quickly, effectively and unobstrusively with very many of the major support problems of the intelligence business.

One last thought: Through such an arrangement, we should make additional progress toward viewing each individual intelligence claim on resources in the over-all context. In DOD, we have observed, intelligence undertakings tend to come to the fore seriation. It is rare, unless we force it deliberately, that a comparison of expectations is made as between, say, spending to detect Soviet missiles firings, as against for the same purpose, or

X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 251A-RDP80B01676R003200200009-8

25X1

to reinforce generally the attache system, or for

Each case comes before OSD and before the Board independently and unrelatedly.

Neither Gen. Truscott and Gen. Erskine should be expected to make the final determination as to how resources will be devoted; but together they can usefully serve the DCI, the Board, and the Secretary of Defense as disinterested and appropriately staffed individuals. They can define and weigh preliminarily against each other the intelligence programs which compete for support among themselves and with other non-intelligence programs.

25)

| STANDARD FORM | Approved For Palesce 2003/08/18 · CIA | Executive Re- | 5.6/ |
|---------------|--|---|---------|
| Office. | Memorandum • un | ITED STATES GOVERNME | NT |
| то : | General Cabell | DATE: 18 March 1959 | <u></u> |
| FROM : | General Truscott | | 25) |
| subject: | | | |
| | Here are the papers I mention this morning. thoroughly familiar with this probadvice. | are all | 25) |
| | As I told you this norming, I 10 days to 2 weeks and see if I ca | think I will stay away for n get myself straightened out. | |

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200200009-8

IKT

18 March 1959

ER11-2328

25X

MEMORANDIM FOR: The Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT

: Comments on Mr. Quarles' Letter dated 19 February 1959 and General Erskine's letter dated 5 March 1959

MR. QUARLES' LETTER

- 1. Essentially, Mr. quarles' letter addresses itself to the arrangements proposed in your letter of January 22.
- Remel is completing its work and that a draft of Intelligence Requirements sents for information about Soviet and other foreign space activities is being submitted to the Board for consideration and appropriate action. He agrees that the Board should assume responsibility for similar work in the future, and appears to hope the same Panel can be continued.

| b. His comments on proposed intelligence relations to |
|--|
| provide (A) and operational guidence to projects |
| md were less specific, but he does state that intelligence |
| support for "may now be formalised within the USIB structure". |
| In general, he recognizes the importance of continuing intelligence |
| support for such activities, but emphasizes the importance of |
| 'military research and operational requirements, such as early |
| surnings, which have remifications beyond those of intelligence alone" |
| in effect, therefore, he accepted USIB's jurisdiction over foreign- |
| intelligence considerations, including requirements, but made clear |
| that these would not necessarily govern R&D or operations decisions |
| about quali are incis. |

- c. While he indicated that the work of the ARPA Fanel should be continued, he also comments that "the question of ARPA's participation in certain Board activities should be deferred at this time". Defense obviously looks to General Erskine's office for normal ARPA relationships with the intelligence community.

25X1

25X1 25X1

Approved For Release 2003/08/18 : CIA-RDP80B01676R003200200009-8

Ord It

aimed directly at Space Surveillance to obtain information on foreign satellite programs, centered around ______ This area obviously poses special problems for the Agency and for the Community. Mr. Quarles' letter should be circulated to the manhers of the Board for information, but no reply on your part seems necessary.

GENERAL ERSKINE'S LETTER

- 2. On 5 March, General Erskine transmitted to you, as Chairman of USIB, a letter from Mr. Johnson forwarding the ARPA lenels proposed national intelligence requirements for Space Surveillance and other foreign space activities, and including background on other related material. General Erskine's letter recommends three appropriate Board actions: (a) action on the intelligence requirements, (b) assignment of responsibility for space collection, etc., to an appropriate working group or standing committee of USIB, and (c) determination of the Board's will with respect to community participation in surveillance facilities operated by Defence and MASA.
- 3. Since there is an urgent need by ARPA for action on the intelligence requirements, the Board should act on these at the meeting on 24 March. I would suggest that at that time the Board might ask GMAIC and SIC to review the intelligence requirements which fall within their respective responsibilities, and early matification of approval be forwarded to ARPA. With regard to General Erakine's second and third recommendations, decisions on these questions are most important but they are less urgent them approval of the intelligence requirements referred to in the first.
- 4. After action on General Erakine's first recommendation, I would suggest a preliminary discussion of his second and third suggestions. Meanwhile, representatives of various offices are seeking to develop agreement as to arrangements which CIA might consider suitable.

L. K. TRUSCOTT, JR. General, USA (Ret.) Deputy Director (Coordination)

| | Distribut | ion: |
|------|-----------|----------|
| | 0 & 1 - | DCI |
| | 1 - | DDCI |
| | 1 - | LKT |
| | 1 - | ER |
| OEV4 | | Pancoast |
| 25X1 | 1 - | AD/SI |

LKT:mfb

25X

ČENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

This is a copy

The paper

Hen Erskine talked

from the USFIB

meeting today. I

reserved the rights

of the Board to

take these actions

whether or not his

office consulted.

Of c 3/31/59

GENERAL CABELL

(47)

Mr. Dulles brought this in; said he assumes no further action but asked that we check this with you. 25X1

REPLACES FORM 10-101 WHICH MAY BE USED.

101

MEMORANDUM FOR: